
**Somewhat overspecific (ex: 16 bit assumptions). Complete.**


**Nominally getting to C++ from C, but heaviest on C**


**Style is somewhat in line of Obfuscated C contest**


**Demos, not strong on how and why**


[checked out]


**System programming rather than language. Still ...**


**As name.**


**Also per name.**


**Very hard core details**


**Ok**


**Data structures being a major reason to use C++, a good book.**


**Ok – as named. Not a good first book in C.**


**Ok**


**Good intro to the standard C libraries**


**K+R were the inventors and original text authors (1978). The best terse introduction to C.**


**Ok large book on C.**


**Good middle-terseness C book, and see the Adages section regardless.**

Peters and Sallam (1986) Compleat C

**A computer scientist's intro to C**

Missing many of what were released in the Standard Template Library.

**Stroustrup (below) is more complete.**


Medium terseness intro.


As Jones, but might be better organized.


Heavily assumes a programming library that we don't have.


The best of the least terse C books.


Overly tied to Borland and irritates me on stylistic grounds.


Hard to tell who the intended audience was, but doesn't look like us.


[out]


By the inventor. Still standard reference and readable.